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The investigation of human-animal relations at Australian zoos reveals that constructions of nature alter across time and space. Material, social and symbolic relations are enveloped by historically specific understandings of animals. The importance or legitimacy attached to the conservation of species in association with the power of the elite shifted in the twentieth century from hunting and the frontier (though these continued to be important to the tourism industry) to the moulding of new cities, races and nations. The old associations of animals and natural history with the technology and science of empire remained in the guise of biology, medicine, comparative anatomy and population health. This article addresses the important place of zoos in Australian cultural and environmental history, specifically linking studies of native fauna in the early twentieth century with a striving toward model cities and model populations. Australian zoo projects at this time show the influence of Spencerian, Lamarckian and Darwinian ideas on progressive thought. Zoos were popular, educational institutions that provided ‘natural’ environments for ‘unnatural’ urban populations. They represented the ideal of fostering healthy communities through the mechanisms of heritage and habitat.

To most modern men and women there comes sometimes, in low, clear tones, the ‘Call of the Wild’. Echoes of some past existence rouse in us the instincts and the memories which we have inherited from men of the Stone Age. We want to forget our city and to live again, if only for an afternoon, that free life among trees and flowers, among birds and beasts, in the air and sunshine, that our folks lived in the long ago. To indulge such cravings there has been built Taronga Zoological Park.1

This article locates Australian zoos within the context of the progressive movement of the early twentieth century, primarily by addressing some of the conservation, research and educational initiatives of their executive membership. Several progressive figures central to the development of Australian zoological gardens are examined, including Sherbourne Le Souef, Colin Mackenzie and Ambrose Pratt. Michael Roe describes individuals in the progressive movement as conscious of broad social and political issues. Their ideas echoed modern reform movements, such as Theodore Roosevelt’s American progressivism and the Garden City movements in Europe. Progressivism, as an extension of the Romantic quest for new sources of creativity and enrichment of life, had broad cultural influence with its new central focus on efficiency and science. The movement encompassed individuals with a range of political affiliations and ambitions, including general social reform, the civilising of laissez-faire economics, through to the more hysterical values of racial and mental hygiene.2
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There is some conjecture over the exact definition of the eugenicist in this period; however, the pursuit of eugenic ideals definitely comprised part of the progressive agenda. Ross Jones argues that in Australia:

eugenics developed after the First World War from a relatively simplistic scientific justification of racist and class-biased social Darwinism into a movement concerned with using environmental reforms to help a wide range of Australians reach their full potential.3

Roe suggests that an integral theme of progressivism was the ranking of the general good above individual advancement, while the passion for nature was also of intrinsic importance. As a result, conservation was a significant principle. In Australia, the reform eugenicists were active in environmental movements including health reform, slum clearance and educational improvements.4 Progressives at the zoological gardens were involved in promoting the accessibility of nature to the people, and the conservation and medical research of native fauna.

When considering the design for a new zoo on the Sydney Harbour, Sherbourne Le Souef, director of the existing Moore Park Zoo and member of an Australian zoo family dynasty, was influenced by trends in Europe, where the Hagenbecks of Stellingen Zoo in Germany had built barless and open-air enclosures.5 The Stellingen model was a geographically, rather than taxonomically, organised animal-world that formed an attractive panoramic vista. Opened in 1916, Taronga Park was shaped by internationalist and nationalist ideals that encompassed scientific, educationalist, conservationist, entertainment and engineering pursuits. In the 1920s noted comparative anatomist Colin Mackenzie established the Institute of Anatomy as an extension of the Healesville Sanctuary in Victoria, where he had been performing experiments on native animals.6 Situated in Canberra, the institute incorporated a national zoo with the objectives of conserving the valuable native fauna of Australia, before their final extinction, and enabling the study of live specimens. Mackenzie held that the medical research into Australian animals was vital to the understanding of anatomical evolution and, thus, the pursuit of human health. Under the guidance of Ambrose Pratt in the 1930s, Melbourne Zoo constructed a representational display of native fauna, which was considered vital for the education of the zoo-going public. Melbourne Zoo’s council members sought to enhance the prospects of the survival of native species through a program especially directed at children. Realistic representations of animals in their habitat, with an added focus on behaviour, were employed to increase public awareness of Australian fauna. Pratt, a friend of Mackenzie, was also involved in animal research.7

Taronga

The construction of Taronga Zoo intersected with other projects of environmental improvement such as slum clearances undertaken by the Sydney Municipal Council. New South Wales Labor Premier W A Holman, and the Taronga Trust’s project, expressed an aesthetic that drew on notions of the reparative quality of nature for urban populations. The slopes of Port Jackson, their slender angophoras and other native plant species, were moulded to create a public playground
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deserved of the ‘Queen City’ of the south. Holman gave over the picturesque Taronga site in 1911 as part of his government’s policy to preserve the foreshores of the Sydney Harbour for the people, a scheme significant for its emphasis on landscape.8 The premier officiated at the opening of the park in 1916, describing it as a recreation facility that also enabled young and old to pursue nature study.9 The site and aspect of Taronga Park was lauded as making it the most beautiful zoo in the southern hemisphere, particularly through its evocation of the romanticised life of Indigenous Australians and the preservation of the environment in which they lived:

Over a century and a half ago the little beach at what is now Taronga Park had a small native settlement. [It had] a tiny stream flowing down to it through a wooded valley, the rough country around had food for aborigines in kangaroos and birds, and the sea had its fish. When the Zoo site was surveyed it was almost as virgin as when the natives held their corroborees and lived their primitive lives. Today the site is laid out with due regard to preserving its pristine loveliness.10

As Dennis Foley documents, Athol Bay, where Taronga was built, is mentioned in the traditional owners’ story of ‘The Waterspirit’. This is bandicoot country, a term to denote women’s areas, and the thick scrub now in the gullies was once a tall open forest:

Off the place now called Bradley’s Head, when Tuhbowgule was once a great river, is where the fresh water mixed with the salt. The area was jealously guarded by an evil old woman, as this was a place of rich food stocks — the bounty of the salt and the richness of the inland water … This place is now called Athol Bay, in its depths is a deep hole where she sleeps. She is said to roam the gullies at night and frequent the caves at Mosman in special times.11

In 1919, Charles Hedley, a member of the Taronga Trust and curator at the Australian Museum, produced a booklet promoting Taronga to the public. He wrote of modern men and women’s need for a place where ‘Echoes of some past existence rouse in us the instincts and the memories which we have inherited from men of the Stone Age’,12 marking the zoo as a place of respite within the city, couched in the evolutionary terms of a ‘return to the wild’. The zoo was later conceived as ‘one of the best “lungs” of the city of Sydney’.13 These sentiments indicate the importance attributed to the zoo as a source of nature within which urban populations could find regeneration. Sydney progressives received Taronga enthusiastically, and its brief history was reported in magazines such as George Augustine Taylor’s Building and Commonwealth Home.14 Colonel Alfred Spain, a respected Sydney architect, was congratulated on his work as president of Taronga Trust in providing for ‘young, happy and healthy Australians … disporting themselves in Australia’s birthright of sunshine’.15 The cultivation and study of Australian plants was a priority at Taronga,16 as were animal studies, including Le Souef’s experiments, which focused on observing animals in captivity. These included studies of ‘ape mentality’ and the traits common to men and animals. Le Souef thought that Australian fauna lacked development as a result of geographical isolation from the evolutionary struggle, and he cross-applied this theory to the Indigenous human inhabitants of the Australian continent.17 Le
Souef's championing of the conservation of native animal species was based on his belief in their economic potential, particularly through the fur trade.\textsuperscript{18}

\textbf{In the national interest}

In his introduction to \textit{Save Australia: A Plea for the Right Use of our Flora and Fauna}, Sir James Barrett, one of Michael Roe’s progressive subjects, asked what could be done to secure the preservation of Australian plants and animals. His answer was: ‘There is only one really sound safeguard, viz., an enlightened public opinion’.\textsuperscript{19} Barrett, the president of the Medical Society of Victoria, established himself as a man of public affairs both political and social, and was a member of the council of the University of Melbourne, where he encouraged the development of courses in the applied sciences. Barrett held that human progress was not yet at its peak and required new direction through scientific and social development, which should be fostered by the university.\textsuperscript{20} \textit{Save Australia} also featured a chapter by Le Souef on Australian animals and the cause of scarcity in certain species, and another on the fur and plumage trade in Australia by A T Latham of the Victorian Society for the Protection of Animals.\textsuperscript{21} Many of the contributors sought to expound the necessity of protection of Australian wildlife as a vital characteristic of a truly modern and enlightened civilization.

During the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, concerns about environmental impacts on the health of the race were paramount, and revolved around the studies on ‘white man’ in the tropics that preoccupied the medical profession.\textsuperscript{22} Evolutionary development at the anatomical and cellular level featured in popular and scientific commentary; the powerhouse of modification, or vitalism, was a major focus of the evolutionary debate.\textsuperscript{23} Colin Mackenzie, a prominent member of the Zoological Society of Victoria and noted comparative anatomist, looked to how the problems of the ‘great scientists’ were relevant to the ‘man on the street’. He proposed that the work of evolutionary scientists was most significant to bodily health. In the medical enterprise Mackenzie foresaw the eminent position of Australian scientists as protectors of unique Australian animals, suggesting that ‘lungfish, reptiles, monotremes, and marsupials represent a living embryology — an embryology different from that of the human body’.\textsuperscript{24} The significance of acquiring and maintaining living specimens was that function, in addition to structure, could be studied.

Mackenzie’s thesis was that the essential difference between primitive animals, such as Australian marsupials and monotremes, and the human, was a postural one. He argued that erect posture conferred intellectual advantages: ‘We have the erect posture, the platypus has not’.\textsuperscript{25} ‘The kangaroo, for Mackenzie, was an interesting experiment in nature, where the erect posture was attempted by means of an anatomical tripod. In the orangutan, chimpanzee and gorilla he saw ‘successive stages in which, without the use of a tail, there [was] a lessened use of the fore limbs for support’. The patella, or kneecap, was Mackenzie’s index for intellectual and postural development; he believed that ‘between the patella of the gorilla and that of the Australian Aboriginal would be the types represented by prehistoric man’.\textsuperscript{26} Mackenzie also suggested that the Australian game of football exercised the erect posture more so than any other in the world, and had been ‘no small factor in the physical development of our nation’.\textsuperscript{27}
As a Lamarckian, Mackenzie argued erect posture was the ultimate goal of nature, and that the means of attaining this posture were muscular. As such he claimed that Lamarck had anticipated the treatment for 80 per cent of men wounded in the first world war, in which he had been heavily involved, utilising the scientific rest and re-education of injured muscle, nerve and bone. During the first world war Mackenzie worked with Sir Arthur Keith, and some years later, in 1934, he wrote to Ambrose Pratt:

Sir Arthur Keith has now left the College of Surgeons and has taken charge of a new research farm, in connection with the College of Surgeons, adjacent to Darwin’s old home (about 25 miles from London). It also is for the study of the anatomy of the living.

Mackenzie’s interest in the conservation of Australian animals was linked to his belief that human development was physiological, and that to obtain knowledge of the ideal state of human health, the history of human development and processes must be known. The gynaecologist, for example, could understand the history of uterine support by investigating birth in Australian reptiles, monotremes and marsupials. Mackenzie lamented that the bandicoot, ‘a marsupial animal of the greatest importance for placental study’ was almost unprocurable. In a lecture before the Section of Neurology and Psychiatry of the Victorian Branch of the British Medical Association, he impressed on the medical graduates that Australian animals, which he stated were fast disappearing, presented an almost unexplored field in the investigation of normal human function, without a knowledge of which the practice of medicine could never be raised from an art to a science.

Mackenzie was principally responsible for establishing the Institute of Anatomy, including a National Museum of Australian Zoology and a National Zoo at the new capital, Canberra. His connections and practical experience with the Melbourne Zoo and Healesville Sanctuary in Victoria were essential in leading him to this enterprise. In 1923 Mackenzie donated his extensive collection of live and preserved native animals, together with fencing and buildings from the Healesville sanctuary, to the Commonwealth Government. He also donated his services without salary for the ‘furtherance of the work which he had been performing at his own expense for so long’. In the Parliamentary Standing Committee Report on the Museum project it was stated that those who had studied Australian fauna felt that the animals were ‘doomed to extinction’ and that they would have all disappeared in less than twenty years if protective measures were not taken.

As part of the committee’s investigations into the value of Mackenzie’s collection, reference was made to a leading article in the British Medical Journal, where it was stated that the collection was well known to the profession and recognised as one of very high scientific value. It was estimated by the committee that if the collection was offered to America it would ‘readily realize £100,000’. Mackenzie emphasised the importance of the project as a world centre for the study of Australian fauna and comparative anatomy. Confirmation of the urgent need for this was the building of a great Australian hall in the New York Natural History Museum, and the eagerness of British, French, and German universities to obtain ‘all the material they [could] lay their hands on
before the final extinction’. The location of the institute in Canberra was considered vital, as the project was perceived as in the national interest:

In working from a provincial centre, such as Melbourne, I felt that a convincing appeal for specimens could not be made to the people, whereas if we were operating from the national Capital the response would be more generous …

The Federal Capital Commission assigned a site of eighty acres on a peninsula of the Molongolo River for the zoological park, which was intended to be a place for scientific purposes as well as for the interest of visitors. This site was separate to the museum building, which was built on Acton Hill. Burley-Griffin’s early plans for Canberra included a site for a zoo, which he placed in the ‘Recreation Group’ with galleries, museums, baths and gymasia. Andrew Wilkie, director of Melbourne Zoo, was called as a witness to speak on the appropriateness of the site, which he thought was an admirable choice with good drainage, an important consideration in the keeping of animals. Mackenzie reported that the institute was moved within the bureaucratic structure and placed in the Department of Health, and that already great interest was being taken, with grants provided for an annual lecture:

The Institute will become one of the most important in the world, occupying in Australia a place in the scientific world like that of the Hunterian Museum in connexion with the College of Surgeons in England … It is an accepted fact that anatomy is the basis of all medical and surgical problems. It will be seen therefore that the Department of Health now has a most important weapon under its control as regards the general health of the community.

The Institute did become an important centre of research in Canberra; however, it did not achieve Mackenzie’s extended ambitions.

Education and improvement

The link between the educational improvement of the population and the importance of conserving native species was made at zoos such as Melbourne’s, whose new Australian department was incorporated into the zoo so that visitors to the Commonwealth might see in one place ‘a truly representative collection of the peculiar birds and animals that are exclusively indigenous to this country’. David Fleay, a naturalist with a reputation forged by publications in national and international scientific journals on the fauna of Australia, was placed in charge of the collection. The identification of the zoo as a site of education, especially for children, was not new. In Adelaide it was reported that the ‘new education’ was largely synonymous with ‘zoo education’; the zoo was considered the most valuable destination in the city for the development of children’s natural powers of observation. The ideal of harmonious interspecies relationships at the zoo was espoused by Clive Lord in Hobart when he claimed:

with the advance of Education and the true ideals of citizenship, greater appreciation will be given to our fauna and animal life in general, for as Herbert Spencer has written ‘The behaviour of man to the lower animals and their behaviour to one another, bear a constant relationship.’
The building of the native fauna reserve at Melbourne, covering an area of three and a half acres, was commenced in 1934. Its main objects were the housing of Australian animals in enclosures approaching natural conditions and also ‘the presentation, systematic grouping and labelling of the various exhibits in accordance with the educational aims and purposes of the Society’. The collection included a koala, platypus and spiny anteater, wombats — both Victorian and Tasmanian species, and snakes. An aviary was constructed, containing three redgums and inhabited by thirty phalangers of five species, allowing ‘these volplaning marsupials [to] be observed in “flight” at night during specially conducted tours’. There was an open-air snake park with ninety snakes (tiger, black, brown and carpet). Breeding was encouraged and was successful both in the case of Tasmanian devils and boobook owls. The Melbourne Zoo promoted its Australian fauna section as an entirely new departure in the history of zoological societies, stating that ‘no such effort [had] been attempted either in Australia or any other part of the world’. Dr Brooke Nicholls, a member of council, suggested that photographs combined with stories supplied by Fleay would make good copy, encouraging press involvement in the promotion of the collection and the zoo.

Both Brooke Nicholls and Ambrose Pratt were involved in conservation initiatives, particularly in relation to native fish. Through the League of Youth, Ambrose Pratt also promoted the protection of the koala and called for a national campaign for soil conservation. He organised a national conference of Australia’s leading zoologists held at Melbourne Zoo in 1936:

for the purpose of exchanging and coordinating all the knowledge … possessed and for investigating the causes of the decreases in species, and discovering adequate remedial measures.

In the opening address to the delegates, the chief secretary of Victoria extolled the virtues of conservation and education:

Whereas in the past a large quantity of very interesting and valuable fauna had been destroyed by the youth of the country, today the schools, with the valuable assistance of all the leading newspapers, were instilling into the minds of youth that admiration of, and interest in Nature that was of advantage not only to Victoria, but to the whole of Australia.

Environmental reform and racial purity

Ambrose Pratt was a close associate and friend of Colin Mackenzie, and correspondence between them indicates not only their consensus on the importance of Australian fauna to medical research and public education, but also their views with regards to race. Mackenzie was supportive of environmental reform projects and had marked leanings toward racial preservation. In a letter to Pratt he commented on the Nordic shape of Pratt’s head, stating that 90 per cent of the Australian population were Nordic rather than Slavic, and should be represented as such by the nation’s artists. Pratt voiced a more overt and political aversion to the Soviets when writing a treatise on the threat of the 1930s depression to the capitalist system.
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The involuntarily idle forces of democracy are ill disposed to credit adverse reports on Soviet conditions. Their own conditions are too desperate. They demand change in tones growing harsh with menace, and they are turning their gloomy faces from the clouded sun of Capitalism to the lurid flare of Communism. Man so violently abhors injustice that he refuses to remember gratefully the favours showered upon him yesterday by the hand that hurts him now. The faults of the established economic order engross his attention. He is already beginning to forget and to disdain its virtues, white and wonderful, which have done more in a single century to enrich and elevate humanity than all the systems of all the ages gone before. It is the duty of those who can to save Demos from the crime he contemplates.51

Ambrose Pratt shifted from adamant support of the ‘white Australia’ policy, which he expressed in his numerous popular novels, to a more lenient position on immigration and trade relations. However, he appears to have maintained the belief that racial preservation was intrinsically biological:

There exist certain physiological disparities between white and coloured folk that must eternally render anything resembling a whole sale mixing of blood a matter of extreme improbability.52

Le Souef pursued evolutionary theory as a religion and held that human and animal intelligence were only separated by degree. As a spiritualist he sought to prove the existence of animal souls. Le Souef’s career in natural history, which included the development of theories about the superiority of certain races of humans and species of animals over others, culminated in a book entitled A Modern View of Evolution. In this book he stated:

Many great leaders in the field of science, such as Pythagoras, Laplace, Newton, Herschel, Darwin, Huxley, Freud, Kelvin, Pasteur, Rutherford, Fabre and hosts of others helped to build a vast cathedral of knowledge that is now coming into its full perspective. The superstructure of this edifice carries us into an ethereal atmosphere of peace and security.53

It would appear that amongst these influential zoological society members, reform eugenics and racial preservation were considered vital to the health and future of a ‘white Australia’.

Conclusion

Tom Griffiths argues that a ‘biological cringe’ was responsible for the conceptualisation of Australian animals as ‘primitive’ in the nineteenth century. This notion was remarkably persistent and ‘even informed twentieth century preservation movements, when people came to feel that the remnants of the relic fauna, flora and peoples, genetically unable to fend for themselves should be “saved”’.54 It appears that further to the objective of defending a primitive and vulnerable biota, progressive projects to conserve and protect Australian species intersected with discourses of population health, education and model environments. The impetus for this was, in part, the extension of the Romantic project into modernity — an understanding of the ‘biological family’ within which homosapiens had evolved with, if not beyond, other animals. This notion was perceived to be integral to the scientific progression toward moral improvement and an ideal civilization. Environmental reform policies, as part of the quest for
improved populations, were implemented at Australian zoos during the early twentieth century. Ideals of racial preservation were also harboured within the executive membership, particularly with regard to medical research and experiments on native fauna.
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**You Don’t Know Jack**

Kathryn H Ferguson

1 The five women generally accepted as the victims of Jack the Ripper are: Mary Ann (Polly) Nichols, killed Friday 31 August 1888; Annie Chapman, killed Saturday 8 September 1888; Elizabeth Stride, killed Sunday 30 September 1888; Catherine Eddowes, killed Sunday 30 September 1888; Mary Jane Kelly, killed Friday 9 November 1888. Although the murder of Mary Kelly happened just inside the boundaries of the City of London proper, the first four murders occurred in close proximity to Whitechapel Road. I have adopted the established convention of referring to the murders as the Whitechapel murders, which is consistent with contemporaneous references to the murders in the English press. For example, the *Times* would lament: ‘Unhappily for all of us, the Whitechapel murderers and their victims are neighbours of every Londoner’. Quoted in Daniel Farson, *Jack the Ripper*, Sphere Books, London, 1973, p 100.
2 The victims of Deeming in Rainhill were his wife, Marie Deeming, and their four children, Bertha, Sydney, Francis and Marie.
3 Victorian Public Record Series 30 unit 966.